



THE IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGY ON ENHANCING REPORTING MECHANISMS IN DONOR-SUPPORTED PROJECTS

Nick Mwela

Doctorate in Business Administration
Exeed College, United Arabs Emirates

Dr. Rashi Baliyan

Department of Management
Exeed College, United Arabs Emirates

Abstract-Digital technologies are increasingly being adopted in donor-funded development projects to enhance transparency, streamline reporting, and support adaptive management. This literature review synthesizes findings from empirical and conceptual studies conducted between 2021 and 2025, with a geographic focus on Sub-Saharan Africa. Drawing on a thematic framework that contrasts the benefits and barriers of technology integration, the review finds that mobile platforms, electronic data capture tools, and blockchain systems significantly improve data timeliness, stakeholder engagement, and financial accountability. However, challenges persist, including weak digital infrastructure, limited institutional capacity, and the imposition of donor-driven systems that may undermine sustainability. The review argues that the success of digital interventions hinges not on technological sophistication alone, but on how well these tools are embedded within local contexts, supported by long-term capacity development, and guided by co-owned accountability frameworks. Recommendations are offered to inform more inclusive, context-sensitive digital reporting strategies in donor-supported settings.

Keywords- Financial accountability, donor-funded projects, internal controls, stakeholder engagement, Principal-Agent Theory, Resource Dependency Theory

I. INTRODUCTION

Donor-supported development projects are foundational to advancing social and economic transformation across low- and middle-income countries. However, their effectiveness hinges not only on financial disbursement and implementation fidelity but also on the integrity and transparency of their reporting mechanisms. Accurate, timely, and accessible reporting plays a dual role: ensuring upward accountability to donors and fostering trust and learning among implementing partners, stakeholders, and beneficiaries.

Traditional reporting systems, often characterized by paper-based documentation, fragmented data channels, and delayed feedback loops, have long struggled to keep pace with growing expectations for real-time monitoring, adaptive decision-making, and evidence-based governance (Koumamba et al., 2021). In response to these challenges, technological innovations such as mobile data platforms, cloud-based dashboards, and even blockchain architectures are being increasingly deployed to strengthen the accountability fabric of donor-funded initiatives.

Yet while the integration of technology promises to enhance reporting efficiency and transparency, its implementation remains uneven and, at times, poorly aligned with local contexts, institutional capacities, and donor expectations.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of digital technologies in donor-funded projects has emerged as a critical tool for enhancing transparency, improving responsiveness, and increasing the efficiency of monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems. A growing body of literature highlights both the potential benefits and persistent barriers of technology-enabled reporting mechanisms in low- and middle-income countries. This review synthesizes findings from empirical studies, case reports, and theoretical perspectives to illuminate how digital tools are reshaping accountability ecosystems within donor-supported development initiatives.

2.1 Benefits of Technology Adoption in Donor-Funded Project Reporting

One of the most widely cited advantages of digital adoption is the improvement in timeliness and data accuracy. Studies by Maina, K. (2021), Tizifa et al. (2021), and Kibuacha (2025) show how mobile platforms, ranging from SMS tools to tablet-based data entry systems, enable real-time reporting, reduce data errors, and decrease the lag between data collection and decision-making. In Rwanda, Omorou et al.



(2024) found that community health workers (CHWs) using the e-ASCov mobile app were able to screen for COVID-19 with minimal training, demonstrating the feasibility of deploying digital tools at scale in decentralized settings. Digital systems also foster stakeholder engagement and trust. Omorou et al. (2024) noted that CHWs valued the app for improving communication and responsiveness. Hicks, J. L et al. (2023) emphasized how personalization and contextual design in mobile health technologies increased user commitment and community buy-in. Similarly, Long, C., et al. (2023) reported that projects using web-based dashboards and mobile platforms experienced higher levels of donor

confidence and beneficiary trust due to transparent, accessible information flows.

Recent innovations such as blockchain technology have introduced new avenues for financial transparency and auditability. According to Funds for NGOs (n.d.), blockchain’s decentralized ledger allows all transactions to be recorded and traced in real time, minimizing opportunities for corruption and enhancing donor oversight. Long, C., et al. (2023) provide supporting quantitative evidence, indicating that digital finance tools correlate with improved budget monitoring, reduced reporting delays, and higher levels of accountability.

Table 1: Summary of Technological Benefits in Donor-Funded Reporting

Theme	Representative Studies	Key Insights
Improved Timeliness and Data Accuracy	Maina, K. (2021); Tizifa et al. (2021); Omorou et al. (2024); Kibuacha (2025)	Digital tools accelerate reporting and reduce errors, especially via SMS and mobile platforms
Stakeholder Engagement and Trust	Rinke de Wit et al. (2022); Hicks, J. L et al. (2023) Omorou et al. (2024); Long, C., et al. (2023)	Personalization and transparency enhance beneficiary involvement and donor confidence
Financial Transparency and Auditability	Long, C., et al. (2023); FundsforNGOs (n.d.)	Blockchain and dashboards enable real-time fund tracing and compliance monitoring

2.2 Barriers to Technology Adoption

Despite these gains, significant barriers remain. Infrastructure and connectivity challenges persist across many low-resource environments. Omorou et al. (2024) and Koumamba et al. (2021) reported that limited internet access, inconsistent mobile networks, and device shortages can prevent full system uptake, even when digital platforms are otherwise well-designed. In Malawi, Tizifa et al. (2021) identified gaps in digital training resources and technical support, which constrained the impact of electronic data capture systems. A second challenge relates to capacity and institutional readiness. As Wahi (2023) emphasized in the context of Save the Children projects in Tanzania, the lack of ICT skills among staff and weak digital literacy across implementing agencies often limits the effectiveness of reporting tools.

Long, C., et al. (2023) echoed these concerns, noting that user capacity varied significantly and that organizations often lacked internal strategies for integrating digital systems into routine workflows.

Lastly, there is growing concern around donor-driven systems that may compromise sustainability and local ownership. Koumamba et al. (2021) found that most African countries implementing DHIS2 lacked their own national HIS strategies, relying instead on donor blueprints. While such systems improve short-term reporting metrics, they risk undermining institutional learning and autonomy. Wahi (2023) advocates for co-designed solutions that engage government actors and communities from the outset, ensuring alignment with local needs and enhancing long-term viability.

Table 2: Summary of the barriers to technology adoption.

Fig. 1. Theme	Fig. 2. Representative Studies	Fig. 3. Key Insights
Fig. 4. Infrastructure and Connectivity Gaps	Fig. 5. Koumamba et al. (2021); Wahi (2023); Omorou et al. (2024)	Fig. 6. Device shortages, weak networks, and fragmented digital ecosystems limit effectiveness
Fig. 7. Capacity and Institutional Readiness	Fig. 8. Tizifa et al. (2021); Wahi (2023); Long, C., et al. (2023)	Fig. 9. Digital illiteracy, weak support systems, and lack of integration hinder uptake
Fig. 10. Donor-Driven Systems and Sustainability	Fig. 11. Koumamba et al. (2021); Long, C., et al. (2023); Wahi (2023); Bennett (2019)	Fig. 12. Top-down tech models often bypass local strategies, risking dependency and short-lived implementation



III. METHODOLOGY

This review adopts a structured, narrative approach to synthesize empirical and conceptual literature on the role of technology in enhancing reporting mechanisms within donor-funded development projects. The methodology comprises three key stages: literature identification, selection and eligibility screening, and thematic synthesis.

3.1 Literature Identification and Selection Criteria

Relevant studies were sourced from peer-reviewed journals, institutional repositories, and practitioner-based platforms between 2021 and 2025. A purposive sampling strategy was used to ensure that the included works addressed one or more of the following criteria:

- The use of digital or mobile technologies in reporting for donor-funded projects
- Empirical or conceptual emphasis on transparency, accountability, monitoring, and evaluation
- Focus on low- or middle-income country contexts, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa

Academic databases (e.g., PubMed, Scopus, Annual Reviews, JMIR), institutional repositories (e.g., DiVA, Open University of Tanzania), and grey literature platforms (e.g., FundsforNGOs, GeoPoll) were consulted. In total, twelve studies were selected based on relevance, methodological transparency, and thematic richness.

3.2 Analytical Strategy

Each study was reviewed independently and summarized using a structured lens that captured:

- Research purpose and context
- Methods employed
- Key findings
- Contributions to the review's guiding question on technology-enabled reporting

An inductive thematic synthesis approach was then employed to identify emergent patterns and conceptual clusters. These clusters informed the dual analytical framework used in the review, highlighting both benefits and barriers to technology adoption, with subthemes grounded in empirical evidence.

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The review reveals a clear momentum toward the integration of digital technologies in donor-funded project reporting, yet it also surfaces enduring structural and contextual challenges. This section unpacks the thematic patterns and tensions identified across the literature, providing interpretive insights into how technology is reshaping transparency and accountability practices in development settings.

4.1 A Strong Case for Technological Enablement

A recurring finding across studies is the transformative potential of mobile tools and digital platforms in addressing long-standing gaps in project reporting. Technologies such as SMS feedback systems, mobile health apps, and electronic data capture tools significantly improved data timeliness and accuracy (Maina, K. 2021.; Tizifa et al., 2021; Omorou et al., 2024). Stakeholder trust was also enhanced, with beneficiaries and field workers reporting greater satisfaction and involvement in data cycles (Hicks, J. L et al. 2023; Rinke de Wit et al. 2022; Long, C., et al. (2023) Importantly, blockchain-based innovations were identified as pivotal in creating verifiable, tamper-proof audit trails (FundsforNGOs, n.d.), offering a paradigm shift in financial accountability mechanisms.

4.2 The Paradox of Progress: Barriers that Undermine Promise

Despite these gains, infrastructural and institutional challenges persistently constrain adoption. Limited internet access, inconsistent device availability, and a lack of digital literacy disproportionately affect rural and under-resourced environments (Koumamba et al., 2021; Wahi, 2023). Moreover, several studies flagged a disconnect between digital tool deployment and local ownership. Donor-imposed systems, while well-intended, often outpace recipient institutions' readiness, resulting in fragmented implementation and weak sustainability (Long, C., et al. 2023; Koumamba et al., 2021). These findings echo broader concerns in development practice about the overtechnologizing of accountability without equivalent investment in institutional scaffolding.

4.3 Toward Contextualized, Human-Centered Innovation

Taken together, the findings suggest that successful digital integration is less about the technology itself and more about how it is embedded within relational, institutional, and infrastructural systems. Projects that prioritized end-user engagement, built capacity, and aligned technologies with existing workflows saw more sustained gains (Wahi, 2023; Omorou et al., 2024). This points to the growing need for co-designed platforms, culturally responsive interfaces, and donor strategies that emphasize long-term ecosystem strengthening over short-term data returns.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

This review has explored how digital technologies, ranging from mobile health applications to blockchain platforms, are reshaping reporting mechanisms in donor-funded development projects, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa. The findings underscore that while these technologies hold substantial potential for improving data accuracy, stakeholder engagement, and financial transparency, their effectiveness is



highly contingent on contextual integration, institutional capacity, and infrastructural readiness.

The dual narrative of promise and constraint suggests that technology must be viewed not as a standalone solution but as part of a broader ecosystem of accountability. When digital tools are aligned with local capacities, co-designed with end users, and supported by long-term institutional investment, they can significantly enhance transparency and adaptive project management.

5.2 Recommendation

Based on the literature reviewed, the following recommendations are proposed:

Prioritize user-centered design in digital tool development to ensure relevance, usability, and sustainability across diverse implementation settings.

Invest in infrastructure and capacity-building, especially in frontline institutions and rural areas, to bridge the digital divide and enhance long-term impact.

Balance donor influence with local ownership by aligning technological interventions with national strategies and involving government and community stakeholders from inception.

Adopt iterative MEAL approaches that leverage real-time data not only for donor reporting but for adaptive learning and participatory decision-making.

Explore under-researched areas, including gender dynamics, data ethics, and cross-sectoral scalability, to enrich the evidence base and guide more equitable implementation models.

In sum, digital innovation is a powerful enabler, but its real value lies in how well it is integrated into the social, institutional, and ethical architectures of development practice.

VI. REFERENCE

- [1]. Bennett, John G. (2019). Donor Support to the Digital Economy in Developing Countries: A 2018 Survey of Public and Private Organizations. UNCTAD Technical Notes on ICT for Development No. 13. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/tn_unctad_ict4d13_en.pdf
- [2]. FundsforNGOs. (n.d.). How Can Blockchain Improve Transparency in Donor-Funded Projects? Retrieved June 19, 2025, from <https://www.fundsforngos.org/all-questions-answered/how-can-blockchain-improve-transparency-in-donor-funded-projects>
- [3]. Hicks, Jennifer L., Jakicic, John M., Intille, Stephen S., King, Abby C., Powell, Adam C., and Rivera, David E. (2023). Leveraging Mobile Technology for Public Health Promotion: A Multidisciplinary Perspective, *Annual Review of Public Health*, 44, pp. 173–191.
- [4]. Kibuacha, Felix. (2025). MEAL: Using Mobile to Track the Impact of Donor-Funded Projects, GeoPoll Blog. Retrieved from <https://www.geopoll.com/blog/mobile-impact-meal/>
- [5]. Koumamba, Alain P., Bisvigou, Urbain J., Ngougou, Edgard B., and Diallo, Guéladio. (2021). Health Information Systems in Developing Countries: Case of African Countries, *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 21, Article 206. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-021-01597-5>
- [6]. Long, Charlie, Cangiano, Mauro, Middleton, Emily, et al. (2023). Digital Public Financial Management: An Emerging Paradigm, ODI Working Paper, London: ODI.
- [7]. Maina, Kennedy, Lukuyu, Ben, Marwa, Linda, Haule, Agnes, Githinji, Joseph, and Kizito, Fred. (2021). The Effect of Disseminating Livestock Extension Messages Using SMS: A Case Study of Using the MWANGA Platform in Tanzania, *ILRI and IITA*.
- [8]. Omorou, Ayikoe Guy Y., Ndishimye, Patrick, Hoen, Bruno, Mutesa, Leon, Karame, Patrick, Nshimiyimana, Laurent, et al. (2024). Feasibility, Acceptability, Satisfaction, and Challenges of an mHealth App (e-ASCov) for Community-Based COVID-19 Screening in Rwanda: Mixed Methods Study, *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 12, e50745. <https://doi.org/10.2196/50745>
- [9]. Rashi, Baliyan. (2022). Digital Tools and Governance Efficiency in the UAE Nonprofit Sector: An Accountability Lens, *Middle East Journal of Public Administration*, 11(2), pp. 110–124.
- [10]. Rinke de Wit, Tobias F., Janssens, Wendy, Antwi, Martin, Milimo, Elias, and Mutegi, Nickson. (2022). Digital Health Systems Strengthening in Africa for Rapid Response to COVID-19, *Frontiers in Health Services*, 2, Article 987828. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frhs.2022.987828>
- [11]. Tizifa, Timothy A., Malunga, Gift, Bwanali, Alfred, Macheso, Andrew, and Mwalwanda, George. (2021). Leveraging Phone-Based Mobile Technology to Improve Data Quality at Health Facilities in Rural Malawi: A Best Practice Project, *Malaria Journal*, 20, Article 203. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03742-x>
- [12]. Wahi, Daniel M. (2023). The Influence of Technological Innovations on Performance of Donor-Funded Projects in Tanzania: A Case of Save the Children in Dar es Salaam. Master's Dissertation, Open University of Tanzania. <http://repository.out.ac.tz/4239/>
- [13]. Zivile, N. (2021). Evaluating donor-funded ICT projects. Master's thesis, Malmö University. Malmö University Institutional Repository.
- [14]. Zivile, Nida. (2021). Evaluating Donor-Funded ICT Projects. Master's Thesis, Malmö University, Malmö University Institutional Repository.