IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME : 6 ISSUE : 1 Print / Issue Publication Date: 08-Aug-2021

ISSN : 2455-2143

DOI : 10.33564/IJEAST.2021.v06i01.006

Indexed In

Google SCHOLAR OPEN ACCESS doi Crossref

WWW.IJEAST.COM

editor@ijeast.com

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

IN-SILICO ANALYSIS OF TERPENOIDS IN SACCHAROMYCES CEREVISIAE

Sudheer Menon
Department of Surgery,
University of Hong Kong,
Hong Kong.

Abstract - Heterologous production of terpenoids from plants which are of medicinal and industrial interests is getting much attention. For this purpose saccharomyces cerevisiae is the most commonly used host but the yield of terpenoids is much lower. The main aim of this review is to study the terpenoid pathways of saccharomyces cerevisiae, effect of respective host metabolism as well as to study the effect of different carbon sources in silico by means of elementary mode analysis. The production and yield of IPP was main focus point in order to find out the novel metabolic engineering strategy for increasing production of terpenoids. With glucose acting as a substrate, MVA pathway has low potential to produce terpenoids as compared to DXP pathway if we consider formation of precursor. Moreover the carbon source also has impact on yield with non-fermentable source providing more biomass. At last several knock out methodologies were being employed which identified minimal cuts sets for enhanced growth of terpenoids.

Keywords: Terpenoids, Isoprenoids, In silico, Elementary mode analysis, Constrained minimal cut sets, Metabolic engineering, Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae

I. INTRODUCTION

Natural products either directly or inspirationally accounts for 60%of small molecule and pharmaceutical agents in current clinical usage. Metabolic engineering allows the production of these cells having the ability to overproduce products providing most feasible and easy approach for supplying these precious molecules. Terpenoids are class of natural products which are both industrially as well as biologically important having key roles in combating cancer and acting as antimalarial compounds. But much of such molecules are either rare or are produced in very minute amount which make them both economically and environmentally destructive(McGarvey & Croteau, 1995). The chemical synthesis of terpenoids is difficult because they are economically or industrially in-efficient. These are biological agents acting as secondary metabolite and are important candidates for drugs and fragrance derived from precursor isopentanyl phosphate (Tippmann, Scalcinati, Siewers, & Nielsen) and dimethy-lallyl diphosphate (DMAPP). Although plant cell culture and transgenic plants have promoted and provided commercial scale production. So the use of alternative microbial platform for production of terpenoids presents the possibility of cost effective and the trend has been shifted to microbes, large scale, environmental or industrial friendly production independent of cultural conditions. Now a days, E.Coli and Saccharomyces Cerevisiae are most commonly used microorganisms for production of heterogeneous terpeniods(Bohlmann & Keeling, 2008).They are hence for advancements in molecular biology tools, growth and their well-established use in industrial biotechnology. While most of studies concerning the production of low grade terpenoids such as lycopene which is an anti-oxidative carotenoid showing more distribution between two hosts with increasing usage of S.cerevisea. These characteristics has attributed Saccharomyces cerevisiae as the more potential organism for the production of P450 enzyme which is membrane bounded along with its reeducates. Another advantage of this is to harness different cellular enzymes and components. Moreover it is the characteristic of yeast to bear or withstand with high osmotic pressure and lower PH which makes them less susceptible to infectious agents(Bohlmann, Meyer-Gauen, & Croteau, 1998).

The production of terpenoids in these organisms i.e. Yeast is based on the production of substrates or precursors in maximal amount i.e DMAPP and IPP. The pathway which derives IPP from acetyl CoA is mevalonate pathway using saccharomyces cerevisiae. Several engineering pathways have been employed especially in case of MVA pathway in saccharomyces cerevisiae(Umehara et al., 2008). Three particular

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

routes have been described in literature on research till now. Firstly, over production of trucearred version of co-enzyme HMG1 reeducates which is the key enzyme and is devoid of feedback inhibition by fernesyl diphosphate. Secondly, to reduce the production of squalene synthase in order to reduce the flow or draining of FPP towards the related sterols. Thirdly, by expression of mutant upc 2-1 to up regulate several pathways underlying MVA. The use of in-silico methods reveal theoretical potential deeply giving a deep insight to metabolic processes underlying this providing basis for metabolic engineering(Christianson, 2006).

Elementary mode analysis (EMA) is the method primarily used for the study of metabolic network. Elementary modes (EMs) portray the space of possible consistent state transition conveyances; any such motion dissemination can be spoken to as a non-negative (conic) direct blend of rudimentary modes(Aharoni et al., 2003). In view of the stoichiometric framework, the consistent state suspicion, thermodynamic imperatives (response reversibility) and a non-decomposability imperative, rudimentary modes can be determined without the requirement for active information, a prior to estimations, or a target work. EMA has been applied to calculate the overall capacity of a given metabolic network, e.g. the theoretical maximum yield under a given genetic constitution or on different substrate in order to estimate the potential efficiency of a biotechnological process. Moreover, it has been successfully used as a basis for the computation of intervention strategies to obtain superior production strains(Russo, 2011).

In the recent research a scientist has come forward with the aim to introduce concept of minimal cut sets in order to derive and analyze knock out strategies for production of coupled product or biomass synthesis which allows the functionalities which we have to disabled in metabolic networking but also those which have to preserve during analysis. Besides, all comparable knockout methodologies to achieve a similar designing objective are processed, giving the scientist the adaptability to pick the best blend of quality cancellations in wording of functional acknowledgment. cMCSs contain and broaden for example the methodology of negligible metabolic usefulness that has been effectively applied on numerous occasions so as to distinguish metabolic designing techniques(Christianson, 2017).

II. ANALYSIS OF TERPENOID PATHWAYS AND METABOLIC NETWORKS:

Terpenoid pathway and stoichiometry:

At the initial stage the stoichiometry of terpenoids pathway and metabolic network is analyzed independently from the host organism. The precursors that are present in DXP pathway are glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate and pyruvate(Kappers et al., 2005). The overall stoichiometry of the pathway is written as:

GAP + PYR + 3 NADPH + 2 ATP = ¼ IPP + CO2 + 3 NADP + 2 ADP.

Carbon precursor Acetyl-coA feeds the MVA pathway and its stoichiometry is given as:

3 AcCoA + 2 NADPH + 3 ATP =¼ IPP + CO2 + 2 NADPþ + 3 ADP

Both of the pathways loses one mol CO2 per mol of IPP, that's the reason they are identical according to the carbon yield. The demand of ATP AND NADPH offers little difference in the pathway. In the DXP pathway one mol of NADPH is required more than MVA pathway. Whereas the MVA pathway requires one mol of ATP less than the DXP pathway(Martin, Tholl, Gershenzon, & Bohlmann, 2002).

Biosynthesis of terpenoids:

According to recent researches, more than one thousand natural terpenoids have been found in plants, microalgae, fungi and bacteria. Terpenoids are usually derived from the universal isoprene precursors that is isopentenyl triphosphate and dimethylallyl diphosphate which is the allelic isomer. The mevalonate (MVA) pathway and the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol-4-phosphate (MEP) pathway, are the two natural pathways to synthesize these precursors(Dewick, 2002). The MVA pathway is present in eukaryotes and Achaea whereas MEP pathway is present in bacteria and plant plastids. Acetyl-coA, G3P and pyruvate's are all substrates of these two pathways produced by central carbon pathway. For the supply of critical cofactors such as ATP and NADPH microbial hosts usually uses central carbon pathway for the biosynthesis of terpenoids. Using MVA pathway for the production of one mol of IPP 1.5 molecule of glucose, and 3 mol of ATP are consumed whereas four NADPH molecule are produced. In the MEP pathway one molecule of glucose, 3 molecules of ATP and two molecules of NADPH are required(Pietri, Maurelli, Drieu, & Culcasi, 1997). Condensation of two molecules of

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

Acetyl-coA is done for the production of 3_hydroxy_3_methyl_glutryl_CoA in MVA pathway. The IPP biosynthesis starts with the condensation ofG3P and pyruvates in MEP pathway(Aharoni et al., 2006).

The wild type E. coli and S. cerevisiae metabolic potential to supply IPP:

Now the analysis of the metabolic background of both the pathways are going to be done with respect to the host. The maximal IPP yield first comparison is purely based on carbon stoichiometry by ignoring energy and redox equilateral requirements, they shows significant difference. The maximum IPP yield through DXP pathway in E. coli is 5/6 = 0.83 Cmol/C-mol as compared to 5/9 = 0.56 C-mol/C-mol in S. cerevisiae through the MVA pathway. The difference is due to the carbon loss through CO2 in the formation of terpenoids pathway(Bowers, 1969).

The formation of one mol IPP from glucose in E. coli the overall stoichiometry is given as:

GLC + 2 ATP + 3 NADPH + NADþ=¼ IPP + CO2 + 2 ADP + 3 NADPþ + NADH.

In S. Cervises the overall stoichiometry is given as:

1:5 GLC + 3 ATP + NADPþ + 3 NADþ =¼ IPP + 4 CO2 + 3 AMP + NADPH + 3 NADH.

Now from the metabolic background significant differences have been observed. When the pathways are isolated from the network, they both serves as redox sink. The synthesis of IPP in E. coli is accomplished by(McGarvey & Croteau, 1995)the oxidation of NADPH. Whereas the reduction of redox equaling is generated in S. Cervises(Ayer & Browne, 1981).

III. MOLECULAR ENGINEERING OF CAROTENOIDS:

Commercial interest in sustainable production of carotenoids have led to the conditions where carotenoid production has been enhanced via metabolic engineering. The summary of these strategies. The basis lies in the fact to enhance flux of carbon from substrate in order to enhance carbon influx at the same time minimizing excessive or by product formation(Zulak & Bohlmann, 2010). In a particular way, the carotenoid pathway has been divided into three stages;

- Metabolism of central carbon
- Cofactor metabolism
- Isoprene metabolism
- Carotenoid biosynthesis

Influx of carbon intake and all other parameters are important in production of carotenoids.

IV. ENGINEERING CAROTENOID BIOSYNTHESIS MODULE:

Biosynthesis module is directly related to carotenoid yield. If terpenoid is produced in small amount, then it will lead to substrate or precursor accumulation which in this case is IPP and DMAAP leading to toxicity in cell. Up to date many strategies are being employed to enhance the production of carotenoids, enhancing carotenoid production, selection of enzymes, engineering of prime enzymes, optimization of gene expression and enhancing carotenoid storage in cellular compartments(Lesburg, Zhai, Cane, & Christianson, 1997).

V. SCREENING AND ENGINEERING ENZYME WITH HIGH ACTIVITY:

The natural microbes including E.coli and saccharomyces cerevisiae do not harbor all the genes required for maximum production of carotenoids so heterogeneous genes are required to construct the biosynthetic metabolic pathway which in turn would have different expression in different hosts. So it is necessary to choose well established carotenoid source for efficient production of carotenoids. In saccharomyces cerevisiae for example crtE/B/ I gene production is enhanced in many species , from texus X media, crtB from P. agglomerans, and crtI from Blakeslee trispora have resulted in the greater amounts of lycopene production. Beside plants and microbes, the marine bacteria are also the good source of production either common or uncommon(Lesburg et al., 1997). In addition to them, some novel bio functional enzymes have been discovered in order to identify the single gene responsible for production of both h photogene synthase and lycopene cyclase from many species. These can reduce competition from precursor and will help in elimination and removal of side products(Rischer et al., 2006). Artificial produced protein have several benefits. Identified and screened enzymes have low activity, low expression and lower expression of heterogeneous hosts in hosts. Multiple engineering have been tested to produce carotenoids in sufficient amount. Due to simple color of carotenoids, simple techniques and color coherent techniques have been employed to improve enzymatic function or activity. In order to increase the influx, an enhanced production of GGPP synthase has been employed in order to increase terpenoid production. In addition to it FPP synthase mutant type is also employed which

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

will increase its chain length specify. Beside this, a rational design has been employed CCD1 from Petunia hybrid (PhCCD1) and the aforementioned OfCCD1 that enhance the production of derivatives from beta and epsilon carotenes by 300 to 400%(Bian, Deng, & Liu, 2017)

Protein engineering for high solubility, a machine learning regression model is being employed to predict beta lycopene production based on solubility of known protein using its data set. Using this method, high solubility can be selected from protein data bank (PDB), the technique which can be employed in carotenoid production. Fusion tags can also help in protein stabilization. After synthesis, the carotenoids are bound to lipid membrane based on their hydrophobic property which efficiency. In another example, β-carotene ketoses and hydroxylase fused to glycerol channel protein (GlpF) were localized to the membrane, increasing astaxanthin production by 217%(Nagegowda, 2010).

VI. ENGINEERING ISOPRENE SUPPLEMENT MODULES:

In order to obtain high yield of carotenoids, IPP is considered as a key precursor. As mentioned earlier, MVA and MEP pathway is used for the synthesis of natural IPP. Overexpression of MVA does not increase the precursor or substrate of IPP supply due to accumulation of toxic substances and feedback inhibition. Several methods, including optimized expression of key enzymes and regulation of the pathway has been applied to improve engineering the MVA and MEP pathways(Collu et al., 2001).

Engineering MVA pathway in order to enhance production of IPP in saccharomyces cerevisiae:

Following MVA pathway, the intermediate hydroxyl methyl glutyrate G is toxic to cellular components. And hence lower production of HMG in MVA pathway acts as a rate limiting step in expression. This can be solved by over expression of HMG gene or by replacement with active one from saccharomyces cerevisiae(Schmelz et al., 2014). The expression of MK melvonate kinase can be feedback inhibited by GPP and FPP. Induced evolution and over expression of MK has been utilized to increase yield of IPP downstream products yield. In addition to it several feedback mechanisms have been identified and characterized which can be over expressed in all other microbes to increase production of carotenoids(Gerhart, 1983). In the same way FPP can feedback inhibited the production of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reeducates (HMGR1) which in turn effected the production of carotenoids and limited its yield. This problem can be solved by over expression of. N-terminal truncated HMGR1. However increasing enzyme is not always the best solution for increasing efficiency of IPP production(Austin, Shephard, Pike, Rabin, & Phillips, 1988).

Balanced expression system can result in improvement of carotenoid production in MAP pathway and deletion of obstacles underlying the pathway. The increased expression of three genes in upper pathway of MVA can leads to accumulation of HMG-COA resulting in poor cell growth and MVA production while moderate level production by placing it under the promoter and controlling copy number can enhance its production by 800% and is found to be useful. Tunable intragenic regions result in optimized expression of MVA pathway which utilizes oligonucleotide subunits in order to control stability of massengerRNA or scaffold assembly which can also enhance IPP precursor supply in turn(Santos & Rao, 2000). Tunable expression of MVA pathway on lower side can lead to increased production of zeanthin which is performed by lactose promoters attached to genes of MVA pathway which in turn can also enhance IPP supply. All the above changes center on cytoplasm designing, be that as it may, mitochondria are another compartment that produces a lot of acetyl CoA enzyme, the antecedent for the MVA pathway. Localized expression of the whole MVA pathway in mitochondria expands the flexibly of acetyl-CoA and altogether improved the yield of the IPP downstream isoprene in S. cerevisiae. These procedures to improve transition through the MVA pathway and can be used to enhance production of carotenoids in MVA pathway(McCourt, Lumba, Tsuchiya, & Gazzarrini, 2005).

Computation of yield optimal flux distribution in S.cerevisea:

In s.cerevisea, three NADPH and NADH are produced as a result of glycolysis and about 6 ATPS are needed in order to produce one IPP and 1.5 moles of glucose are required. Produced NADH can deoxidized via respiratory chain in order to produce adenosine tri phosphate. But not sufficient amount of ATP is produced via stoichiometry of respiratory chain. Hence cell will be deficient in production of ATP to produce IPP which will result in branching of carbon flux to citric acid cycle to generate ATP via NADPH(Moser & Pichler, 2019). The **fig** is showing branching of optimal flux distribution. The main flux of pathway will be from glycolysis, pyruvate dehydrogenase and MVA pathway, a small is part off

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

from branches of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex and citric acid cycle in mitochondria to generate NADH which then deoxidizes via respiratory chain to produce ATP. This branching of leads to optimal yield of IPP along with 0.53 carbon per mole in optimal flux distribution. The energy deficiency prevent high yield IPP production of MVA pathway which can be validated by introduction of additional ATP or NADPH leading to theoretical value above then 0.56mole per carbon per mole(Wang et al., 2018).

Some fluxes are flexible within the optimal elementary modes nearly to all those of mitochondria or cytosolic alcohol, mitochondria shuttle, acetaldehyde, aldehyde shuttles all are the factors which don't influence the Ipp Yield. The optimal yield will be purely in reparative mode which include formation of respiration and fermentation products. However fermentation is characterized by low yield of about 0.1 carbon per mole per carbon(Abraham, Stumpf, & Kieslich, 1986). High ATP requirement of the MVA pathway can't be conveyed by fermentative digestion. Furthermore, the development of CO2, the main side-item, is a lot higher than optimal Flux distribution in E. coli mirroring the high carbon loss in the development of acetyl-CoA. Despite the fact that hypothetical maximal yields vary in other microbes what's more, S. cerevisiae, the fundamental transition in optimal Flux distribution at greatest IPP yield is comparative(King & Richard Dickinson, 2000). Key pathways are glycolysis and terpenoid pathway and a bit of the motion is directed to citrus extract cycle and respiratory chain. Transhydrogenase is dynamic in S. Cerevisiae while pyruvate dehydrogenase sidestep is dynamic in yeast to generate NADPH. Unnecessary responses incorporate result arrangement aside from CO2, gluconeogenesis and anaplerotic responses just as pentose phosphate pathway assuming no biomass is delivered. Impediments in redox counterparts also, energy for high return IPP creation could be approved in silico(Ajikumar et al., 2008).

VII. ALTERNATE CARBON SOURCE ACTING AS SUBSTRATE FOR S.CEREVISEA:

Carbon as well as other sources for production of 1 mole of IPP from glucose or fructose is identical to that of glucose. In the same way other factors such as IPP and biomass yield with lactose and fructose are identical to those of glucose. So a heterogeneous pathway has been introduced for the S. cerevisiae nominated as xylose a wild strain which is not able to grow on pento xylose. Two different pathways have been identified based on xylose with the yeast which include : Xylose isomerize (Bian et al.) Pathway as well as the xylose reeducates and xylitol dehydrogenase (XR-XDH) pathway. Similarly overall stoichiometry is also similar to that of glucose. The overall stoichiometry is similar for XR-XDH pathway, if xylose reeducates uses NADH and slightly changes if enzyme used NADPH. The theoretical yield for both IPP and biomass are similar to that of on glucose for XI and XRD pathway(Dai, Liu, Huang, & Zhang, 2012). However IPP including bio ass yield is enhanced including biomass formation to about 0.51 carbon mole per mole with XR –XDR pathway. And flux is similar to those on glucose except the fact that xylose enter glycolysis via not oxidative part of pentose pathway. The maximal yield on non-fermentable carbon source is truly based on carbon stoichiometry. Less energy and more NADH are required for production of one mole of IPP from glycerol. Glycerol is channeled into glycolysis via glyceraldehyde 3 phosphate pathway. The carbon flux is not distributed to citric acid as energy is produced via NADH and respiratory chain(Tippmann et al., 2016).

The optimal Flux distribution further uncover that pentose phosphate pathway, side product development, the initial segment of citric acid cycle in the same way as glyoxylate cycle are not dynamic. Some transitions are adaptable specifically those of alcohol dehydrogenases, NAD dependent aldehyde dehydrogenases, second part of citrus acid cycle just as mitochondrial transport frameworks showing a specific adaptability in NADH redox which doesn't impact the IPP yield. The exceptionally high number of elementary modes infers an expanded excess and along these lines network adaptability and is because of the action of the glyoxylate cycle(Paradise, Kirby, Chan, & Keasling, 2008).

The glyoxylate cycle indicated just a weak action in yeast cells developed on glycerol in our tests. In the event that the cycle is executed from the calculations, the quantity of elementary modes diminishes be that as it may, the hypothetical most extreme IPP yield stays 0.56 C-mol/C-mol. All things considered, the IPP yield including biomass development is a lot higher if the cycle is incorporated indicating that an active glyoxyte cycle could be of benefit(Paradise et al., 2008).

VIII. METHODS EMPLOYED FOR IN-SILICO ANALYSIS:

There are two methods:

1. Elementary mode and minimal cut set.

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

2. Metabolic networks

1. Elementary mode and minimal cut set.

Consider a given metabolic reaction network with q x p stoichiometric matrix N and set a Irrev of irreversible reaction. The set of steady state flux vector r from the convex cone reads:

F ¼ r ∈ Rq f jNr ¼ 0; ri ≥ 0 ∀i ∈ Irrevg

And,

fr ∈ Fj ri ¼0; ∀i ∈ Cg ∩ M ¼ ∅

2. Metabolic Networks:

Comprehensive analysis of complete set of elementary mode is still infeasible with genome scale metabolic models. Stoichiometric model of central metabolism of E. coil and S. cervises were built up manually. The basic glucose network of E. coli consists of 65 reactions from which 21 are referable and 50 are metabolites. In(Brennan, Turner, Krömer, & Nielsen, 2012) S. Cervises there are total of 69 reaction off which 30 are reversible and 60 are metabolites.

Tableno.01.

Organism	**Pathway**	**Carbon**	**source Maximum IPP yield (this study**
S. cerevisiae	**MVA**	**glucose+**	**0.53**
S. cerevisiae	**MVA**	**glucose+**	**0.53**
S. cerevisiae	**MVA**	**glucose+Glactose**	**0.53**
S. cerevisiae	**MVA**	**glucose+**	**0.53**
S. cerevisiae	**MVA**	**glucose+**	**0.53**
S. cerevisiae	**MVA**	**glucose+ ethanol**	**0.53\0.68**

Comparison of theoretical yields with experimental yields reported in literature:

The experimental carbon yield are very slow dven the strains used in this experimental study are different and has been replaced with terpenoid pathway which highlights tremendous improvement pathway(**tableno.01**). The terpenoid yield on ethanol was quite high in yield which indicate terrene or MVA are not limiting factor which include the flux into these pathways is limiting factor on carbon or other sources like glucose(Zhao et al., 2019).

Table no.03.

cMCS knockout strategy	**EMs**	**Max. IPP Yield**	**Max. biomass yield**
Wild type			
Strategy 1: acetate ex + {ethanol ex OR alcohol DH} + {α-ketoglutarate DH OR succinyl-CoA ligase}	142/48	0.53	0.29
Strategy 2: acetate ex + {ethanol ex OR alcohol DH} + malate DH + malic enzyme	60/21	0.53	0.29
Strategy 3: acetate ex + {ethanol ex OR alcohol DH} + malate DH + NAD+ -dependent isocitrate DH + pyruvate DH complex	51/19	0.53	0.37

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2021
Vol. 6, Issue 1, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 43-52
Published Online May 2021 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

Identification of over expressed target:

A very different approach to MVA pathway underlying the transfer from S .cerevisiae into mitochondria. On glucose the theoretical maximal yield is enhanced to 0.56 carbon per mole and IPP yield or biomass production is enhanced to 0.51 carbon per mole in the case MVA pathway in mitochondria or cytosol is active. However not all the MVA pathway is transferred to mitochondria? Advancement of this method will be mitochondrial acetyl CoA, which is formed via pyruvate dehydrogenase complex and does not require ATP. Alternatively ATP-citrate lysate has been expressed in cytosol. The enzyme will form acetyl CoA citrate in cytosol which has been transferred from mitochondria so circumvents pyruvate dehydrogenase bypass. It is accompanied by conversion of one mole of ATP to AMP while cytosolic pyruvate dehydrogenase bypass converts one mole of ATP to AMP. So ATP citrate lysate is more efficient and promising(Emmerstorfer et al., 2015).

If DXP pathway is introduced into the yeast, a heterologous soluble transhydrogenase leads to higher theoretical maximal yield of IPP which is 0.67 per carbon per mole. However introduction of membrane bounded enzymes from E.coli to S. Cerevisiae led to reduction of NADP pool including glycerol formation that is opposite to desired effect(Asadollahi et al., 2008).

IX. IDENTIFICATION OF KNOCKOUT STRATEGIES:

The constrained minimal cut sets allows the identification of all possible knock out genes which eliminates undesired elementary modes and keep mode of interest which have following characteristics (**tableno.03**).

- Have specific and specialized minimal product yield.
- Allows some biomass formation.

Hence the selection pressure imposed by gene deletion allows cells to produce a pre-defined and pure form of pre-defined terpenoids. However optimal biological functions are obtained through evolutionary biological processes. For in silicon or in-vitro validation, it has been shown that adaptive evolution is essential to improve production ability from optimal metabolic states to optimal once as predicted from in silico analysis. The study is based on identification of deletion target for s. Cerevisiae for metabolic engineering(Moses, Pollier, Thevelein, & Goossens, 2013).

CMCS for S. Cerevisiae:

They were mainly composed of wild type metabolic network along with glucose and carbon sources. Modes exhibited yield of IPP not more than 0.25 per carbon per modes were collected in set of target modes. All Ems exhibiting following characteristics were considered.

- Ipp yield should not be less than 0.25 per carbon per mole.
- Concurrent yield value higher than zero will be regarded as mode D.

For preservation of one desired mode, about 416 cMCSs were calculated. Cut sets including mitochondrial transport frameworks, dispersion, ATP upkeep and so forth were rejected from additional contemplations leaving 8 possible cMCSs with three to six intercessions (Table 4). All

Remaining cMCS require impeding of acetic acid derivation emission furthermore, both of ethanol emission and of all liquor dehydrogenases (cytosolic and mitochondrial). The avoidance of acetic acid derivation and ethanol creation is urgent as the designing objective can't be cultivated without those two targets. An extra objective is the fractional knockout of citrus acid cycle after α-ketoglutarate,in particular α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase or succinic-CoA. Ligase (succinate dehydrogenase or fumarate hydratase would be an option also yet were not considered because of conceivable succinate discharge). On the other hand malate dehydrogenase and malic protein can be erased for a halfway knockout of citrus extract cycle. A second option is malate dehydrogenase, NAD+ subordinate is citrate dehydrogenase just as pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. cMCs including DXP pathway has been found to be similar in both types wild or control group thus suggested knock out statergies can be implemented to both wild as well as control group. All minimal cuts lead to formation of less products and hence low biomass yield. However a certain flexibility is preserved. IPP could reach to maximal value of Ipp in wild type and minimal value of 0.23 to 0.55 is calculated. Due to partial degradation of citric acid cycle, the cell is not able to convert glucose into CO2. So in this case cell will be forced to secrete the products like ethanol or acetate. The repression of acetate and ethanol will result in cell finding another

pathway like IPP. Targets identified for glucose are also applicable in other sugars like lactose or fructose(Brück, Kourist, & Loll, 2014).

X. ADVANCEMENTS IN STRATEGIES USED FOR INSILCO ANALYSIS FOR YEAST:

The main cytosolic Acetyl-coA was biosynthesized from the pyruvate dehydrogenase bypass pathway mainly in S. Cervises, which is strongly competed by the ethanol fermentation. Thus the overexpansion of the bacterial PDH for directly converting pyruvate into the Acetyl-coA molecule in the cytosol. Lipoicacids which are the cofactors in these pathways are used for activating PDH that limits the cytosolic PDH activity. The nonnative xylose pathway which is xylose_5_phosphate pathway structurally constructed to rewiring yeast central carbon metabolism to Acetyl-coA. The Mitochondrial Acetyl-coA level is thought to be 20_30 folds higher than the cytoplasmic Acetyl-coA. By the condensation of the glycolysis intermediates G3P and pyruvate, the MEP pathway synthesized IPP. The intermediates of yeast MVA pathway have high yield of IPP. The two structural enzymes such as 1_hydroxy,2_methyl-butenyl, 4-diphosphate synthase and HMBPP reeducates makes it difficult to reconstruct MEP pathway in the cytosol of yeast. The IPA pathway and isopentoil utilization pathway helps in biosynthesis of IPP and DMAPP(Wei et al., 2018).

Because of the absence of GPP synthase, the production of monoterpenes is always challenging in S.Cervises. ERG20P is a bio functional enzyme which is used in the production of GPP which is utilized by FPP that limits C10 precursor's pool. Without regarding the cell growth, the high level production ofmonoterpenes is enabled by the construction of orthogonal nitryl triphosphate photosynthesis. This non-natural terrenes expands the chemical space and diversity of natural products. After the production of terpenes scaffolds, oxidation, glycol transfer and methyl transfer world together to produce structurally diverse terpenoids. For the heterologous synthesis of bioactive trrpenoids like limonene, artimisinic acid, hydrocortisone and genginosoids. The yeast cell can be used for characterizing the enzyme fly the biosynthesis of natural products.

XI. CONCLUSION:

For the production of ecologically and economically efficient terpenoids, the use and optimization of microorganisms is a contemporary issue in industries and academic research. The most widely used organisms in this method are E. coli and S. cervises, their respective enzymes and pathways. The DXP pathway of E. coli has higher potential for the production of higher yield of IPP than MVA pathway of. Cervises. Further researches in the DXP pathway has been done to compensate the higher yield production of IPP in the E. coli as compared to the S. Cervises. However theoretical maximal yield can be enhanced in both organism.

XII. REFERENCES:

1) Abraham, W.-R., Stumpf, B., & Kieslich, K. (1986). Microbial transformations of terpenoids with 1-p-menthene skeleton. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 24*(1), (pp.24-30).
2) Aharoni, A., Giri, A. P., Deuerlein, S., Griepink, F., de Kogel, W.-J., Verstappen, F. W., . . . Bouwmeester, H. J. (2003). Terpenoid metabolism in wild-type and transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *The Plant Cell, 15*(12), (pp.2866-2884).
3) Aharoni, A., Jongsma, M. A., Kim, T.-Y., Ri, M.-B., Giri, A. P., Verstappen, F. W., . . . Bouwmeester, H. J. (2006). Metabolic engineering of terpenoid biosynthesis in plants. *Phytochemistry Reviews, 5*(1), (pp.49-58).
4) Ajikumar, P. K., Tyo, K., Carlsen, S., Mucha, O., Phon, T. H., & Stephanopoulos, G. (2008). Terpenoids: opportunities for biosynthesis of natural product drugs using engineered microorganisms. *Molecular pharmaceutics, 5*(2), (pp.167-190).
5) Asadollahi, M. A., Maury, J., Møller, K., Nielsen, K. F., Schalk, M., Clark, A., & Nielsen, J. (2008). Production of plant sesquiterpenes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Effect of ERG9 repression on sesquiterpene biosynthesis. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 99*(3), (pp.666-677). doi:10.1002/bit.21581
6) Austin, C. A., Shephard, E. A., Pike, S. F., Rabin, B. R., & Phillips, I. R. (1988). The effect of terpenoid compounds on cytochrome P-450 levels in rat liver. *Biochemical pharmacology, 37*(11), (pp.2223-2229).
7) Ayer, W. A., & Browne, L. M. (1981). Terpenoid metabolites of mushrooms and related basidiomycetes. *Tetrahedron, 37*(12), (pp.2197-2248).

8) Bian, G., Deng, Z., & Liu, T. (2017). Strategies for terpenoid overproduction and new terpenoid discovery. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 48*, (pp.234-241).
9) Bohlmann, J., & Keeling, C. I. (2008). Terpenoid biomaterials. *The Plant Journal, 54*(4), (pp.656-669).
10) Bohlmann, J., Meyer-Gauen, G., & Croteau, R. (1998). Plant terpenoid synthases: molecular biology and phylogenetic analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 95*(8), (pp.4126-4133).
11) Bowers, W. S. (1969). Juvenile hormone: activity of aromatic terpenoid ethers. *Science, 164*(3877), (pp.323-325).
12) Brennan, T. C. R., Turner, C. D., Krömer, J. O., & Nielsen, L. K. (2012). Alleviating monoterpene toxicity using a two-phase extractive fermentation for the bioproduction of jet fuel mixtures in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 109*(10), doi:10.1002/bit.24536 (pp.2513-2522).
13) Brück, T., Kourist, R., & Loll, B. (2014). Production of Macrocyclic Sesqui- and Diterpenes in Heterologous Microbial Hosts: A Systems Approach to Harness Nature's Molecular Diversity. *ChemCatChem, 6*(5), doi:10.1002/cctc.201300733 (pp.1142-1165).
14) Christianson, D. W. (2006). Structural biology and chemistry of the terpenoid cyclases. *Chemical reviews, 106*(8), (pp.3412-3442).
15) Christianson, D. W. (2017). Structural and chemical biology of terpenoid cyclases. *Chemical reviews, 117*(17), (pp.11570-11648).
16) Collu, G., Unver, N., Peltenburg-Looman, A. M., van der Heijden, R., Verpoorte, R., & Memelink, J. (2001). Geraniol 10-hydroxylase1, a cytochrome P450 enzyme involved in terpenoid indole alkaloid biosynthesis. *FEBS letters, 508*(2), (pp.215-220).
17) Dai, Z., Liu, Y., Huang, L., & Zhang, X. (2012). Production of miltiradiene by metabolically engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 109*(11), doi:10.1002/bit.24547, (pp.2845-2853).
18) Dewick, P. M. (2002). The biosynthesis of C 5–C 25 terpenoid compounds. *Natural product reports, 19*(2), (pp.181-222).
19) Emmerstorfer, A., Wimmer-Teubenbacher, M., Wriessnegger, T., Leitner, E., Müller, M., Kaluzna, I., . . . Pichler, H. (2015). Over-expression of ICE2 stabilizes cytochrome P450 reductase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Pichia pastoris. *Biotechnology Journal*, doi:10.1002/biot.201400780, *10*(4), (pp.623-635).
20) Gerhart, D. J. (1983). The chemical systematics of colonial marine animals: an estimated phylogeny of the order Gorgonacea based on terpenoid characters. *The Biological Bulletin, 164*(1), (pp.71-81).
21) Kappers, I. F., Aharoni, A., Van Herpen, T. W., Luckerhoff, L. L., Dicke, M., & Bouwmeester, H. J. (2005). Genetic engineering of terpenoid metabolism attracts bodyguards to Arabidopsis. *Science, 309*(5743), (pp.2070-2072).
22) King, A., & Richard Dickinson, J. (2000). Biotransformation of monoterpene alcohols by Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Torulaspora delbrueckii and Kluyveromyces lactis. *Yeast, 16*(6), doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0061(200004)16:6<499::Aid-yea548>3.0.Co;2-e, (pp. 499-506).
23) Lesburg, C. A., Zhai, G., Cane, D. E., & Christianson, D. W. (1997). Crystal structure of pentalenene synthase: mechanistic insights on terpenoid cyclization reactions in biology. *Science, 277*(5333), (pp.1820-1824).
24) Martin, D., Tholl, D., Gershenzon, J., & Bohlmann, J. (2002). Methyl jasmonate induces traumatic resin ducts, terpenoid resin biosynthesis, and terpenoid accumulation in developing xylem of Norway spruce stems. *Plant physiology, 129*(3), (pp.1003-1018).
25) McCourt, P., Lumba, S., Tsuchiya, Y., & Gazzarrini, S. (2005). Crosstalk and abscisic acid: the roles of terpenoid hormones in coordinating development. *Physiologia Plantarum, 123*(2), (pp.147-152).
26) McGarvey, D. J., & Croteau, R. (1995). Terpenoid metabolism. *The Plant Cell, 7*(7), (pp.1015).
27) Moser, S., & Pichler, H. (2019). Identifying and engineering the ideal microbial terpenoid production host. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 103*(14), (pp.5501-5516).
28) Moses, T., Pollier, J., Thevelein, J. M., & Goossens, A. (2013). Bioengineering of plant (tri)terpenoids: from metabolic engineering of plants to synthetic biology in vivo and in vitro. *New Phytologist, 200*(1), doi:10.1111/nph.12325, (pp. 27-43.)

29) Nagegowda, D. A. (2010). Plant volatile terpenoid metabolism: biosynthetic genes, transcriptional regulation and subcellular compartmentation. *FEBS letters, 584*(14), (pp.2965-2973).
30) Paradise, E. M., Kirby, J., Chan, R., & Keasling, J. D. (2008). Redirection of flux through the FPP branch-point in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by down-regulating squalene synthase. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 100*(2), doi:10.1002/bit.21766, (pp. 371-378).
31) Pietri, S., Maurelli, E., Drieu, K., & Culcasi, M. (1997). Cardioprotective and Anti-oxidant Effects of the Terpenoid Constituents ofGinkgo bilobaExtract (EGb 761). *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology, 29*(2), (pp.733-742).
32) Rischer, H., Orešič, M., Seppänen-Laakso, T., Katajamaa, M., Lammertyn, F., Ardiles-Diaz, W., . . . Goossens, A. (2006). Gene-to-metabolite networks for terpenoid indole alkaloid biosynthesis in Catharanthus roseus cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103*(14), (pp.5614-5619).
33) Russo, E. B. (2011). Taming THC: potential cannabis synergy and phytocannabinoid-terpenoid entourage effects. *British journal of pharmacology, 163*(7), (pp.1344-1364).
34) Santos, F., & Rao, V. (2000). Antiinflammatory and antinociceptive effects of 1, 8-cineole a terpenoid oxide present in many plant essential oils. *Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives, 14*(4), (pp.240-244).
35) Schmelz, E. A., Huffaker, A., Sims, J. W., Christensen, S. A., Lu, X., Okada, K., & Peters, R. J. (2014). Biosynthesis, elicitation and roles of monocot terpenoid phytoalexins. *The Plant Journal, 79*(4), (pp.659-678).
36) Tippmann, S., Scalcinati, G., Siewers, V., & Nielsen, J. (2016). Production of farnesene and santalene by Saccharomyces cerevisiae using fed-batch cultivations with RQ-controlled feed. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 113*(1), doi:10.1002/bit.25683, (pp. 72-81).
37) Umehara, M., Hanada, A., Yoshida, S., Akiyama, K., Arite, T., Takeda-Kamiya, N., . . . Yoneyama, K. (2008). Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones. *Nature, 455*(7210), (pp.195-200).
38) Wang, C., Liwei, M., Park, J.-B., Jeong, S.-H., Wei, G., Wang, Y., & Kim, S.-W. (2018). Microbial platform for terpenoid production: Escherichia coli and yeast. *Frontiers in microbiology, 9*, (pp.2460).
39) Wei, L.-J., Kwak, S., Liu, J.-J., Lane, S., Hua, Q., Kweon, D.-H., & Jin, Y.-S. (2018). Improved squalene production through increasing lipid contents in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 115*(7), doi:10.1002/bit.26595, (pp. 1793-1800).
40) Zhao, F., Bai, P., Nan, W., Li, D., Zhang, C., Lu, C., . . . Lu, W. (2019). A modular engineering strategy for high-level production of protopanaxadiol from ethanol by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *AIChE Journal, 65*(3), doi:10.1002/aic.16502, (pp. 866-874).
41) Zulak, K. G., & Bohlmann, J. (2010). Terpenoid biosynthesis and specialized vascular cells of conifer defense. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 52*(1), (pp.86-97).

IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

ABOUT IJEAST

International Journal of Engineering Applied Science and Technology (IJEAST) is a peer-reviewed, open access journal that publishes high-quality research papers in the field of Engineering, Applied Science and Technology.

IJEAST aims to provide a platform for researchers, academicians, and professionals to share their innovative ideas, research findings, and practical experiences with the global scientific community.

FOCUS AREAS

- Engineering
- Applied Science
- Technology
- Innovation & Development
- Interdisciplinary Studies

PEER REVIEWED
All submissions are rigorously peer reviewed to ensure quality.

OPEN ACCESS
Free and unrestricted access to research for all.

GLOBAL REACH
Connecting researchers and professionals worldwide.

TIMELY PUBLICATION
We ensure a swift and efficient publication process.

For more information, visit our website
www.ijeast.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

editor@ijeast.com
www.ijeast.com
India

2455-2143