



IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY



VOLUME : 5 ISSUE : 3 Print / Issue Publication Date: 15-Sep-2020



ISSN : 2455-2143



DOI : 10.33564/IJEAST.2020.v05i03.015

Indexed In



WWW.IJEAST.COM

editor@ijeast.com



NUMERICAL DESIGN AND ANALYSIS ISOLATED SINGLE SPAN PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE UNDER SEISMIC LOAD

Al Amin

Graduate Student, Department of civil engineering,
University of Asia pacific, Dhaka-1230, Bangladesh

Abstract— In recent time earthquake has been the most dangerous threat to structures. As Bangladesh has a high risk of facing earthquake it is necessary to ensure safety of the structures. Bridges are very vulnerable to earthquake ground motion. In this study a single span composite bridge is modelled with SAP 2000 and is analysed. The bridge is analysed without using isolators subjected to modified El Centro data for 15, 20 seconds. Nonlinear time history analysis was performed. Then this same bridge is analysed using a lead rubber bearing at one end of the bridge. The behaviour of the bridge is observed using isolators. From this analysis displacement vs time and acceleration vs time curves are found for different El Centro data. For base isolated and not isolated condition this results are compared. The comparison shows how seismically isolated condition can improve the response of bridge during earthquake.

Keywords—isolators, El Centro data, lead rubber bearing etc.

I. INTRODUCTION

Technologies for seismic protection have been developed, in order to design structures in highly seismic hazardous area. One of the most interesting solutions applied in bridges are passive energy dissipation systems [1]. Isolation systems can be steel hysteretic dampers or viscous dampers. Bridges built in seismically sensitive regions require special consideration in their structural design. Performing an accurate and realistic numerical simulation of the seismic response of the pedestrian bridges presents a significant challenge to the field of earthquake engineering. Base isolation results in increasing the natural period and damping characteristics of the bridges. Isolation devices have the ability to increase period of vibration of the structure towards a lower amplification range of the response spectrum for design ground motion, thus reducing the input energy or force demand into the structure to control these increased deflections within desirable limits. Reducing the effect of the lateral displacement and horizontal forces generated from earthquake motion is of great concern to designers. The structural bearing technique is one of the tools to reduce the lateral displacement of the bridges.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Base isolation was firstly registered as a patent in 1800's, with Lead Rubber Bearing (LRB) providing high flexibility and damping. The natural rubber has been used for base isolation since 1840's, through the process of material development synthetic rubber or poly-tetra-fluoro-ethylene (PTFE) which is developed by DuPont was used, and designed for 50 years or more [9].

Unjoh and Ohsumi (1998) have conducted numerical study on the earthquake response characteristics and the design method of the multi-span continuous girder bridge with seismic isolation design concept [3].

Ghobarah and Ali (1988); Turkington et al. (1989a); Turkington et al. (1989b) and Jangid (2004) Have evaluated the suitability of using LRBs in reducing the seismic responses of bridges [7]. Su et al. (2002) and Pagnini et al. (1998), Tongaokar and Jangid (2000) have evaluated the effect of modeling of the isolation bearings on seismic Responses of bridges. Paragraph content goes here. Paragraph content goes here. Paragraph content goes here. Paragraph content goes here. Paragraph content goes here. Paragraph content goes here [10].

III. DESIGN OF LEAD RUBBER BEARINGS

A. Lead rubber bearings (LRBs)

Lead Rubber Bearings (LRB) consists of a laminated rubber and steel bearing with steel flange plates for mounting to the structure. Ninety percent of our isolators have an energy dissipating lead core. The rubber in the isolator acts as a spring. It is very soft laterally but very stiff vertically. The high vertical stiffness is achieved by having thin layers of rubber reinforced by steel shims. These two characteristics allow the isolator to move laterally with relatively low stiffness yet carry significant axial load due to their high vertical stiffness [12]. The lead core provides damping by deforming plastically when the isolator moves laterally in an earthquake.




Figure 1. Lead Rubber Bearing

B. Conceptual design of lead rubber bearing

There are two of the most commonly used procedures for designing bridges with lead-rubber bearings are: (1) In New Zealand, the Ministry of Works and Development (MWD) design guide (1983); and (2) in California, the Dynamic Isolation Systems (DIS) procedure (1984). Both procedures in figure 2.4 represent the seismic response of the bridge by a single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) structure with inelastic spectra.




Figure 2. Single degree freedom (SDOF) system

Where, M is the Mass, K is the stiffness, C is the damping and $F(t)$ is the vibration with respect to time of the system. Figure 2.5 shows free body diagram of single degree of freedom system (SDOF). Where, $f_i = \text{Inertia force} = \text{Mass times Acceleration} = M [\ddot{X}]$, $f_v = \text{Viscous force} = \text{Viscous damping times with velocity} = C [\dot{X}]$, $f_s = \text{spring force} = \text{Stiffness times Displacement} = KX$. The damping ratio is a parameter, usually denoted by ζ (zeta) that characterizes the frequency response of a second order ordinary differential equation. $\zeta = \text{actual damping (C)} / \text{critical damping (Cc)}$. the critical damping coefficient is $C_c = 2\sqrt{km}$ or $2m\omega_n$. The lengthening of the first-mode period results into the reduction of the earthquake-induced forces in the structure, but only for short period structures. For long period structures, this effect might be negligible.

C. Design Procedure for isolated Bridge

In the model, the effective stiffness K , and the equivalent damping ratio, ξ , are considered constant during the time of earthquake. These parameters are determined from the hysteresis loop of a bearing obtained for the design displacement, D ; [15]

$$K = (F(D) - F(-D)) / 2D \dots\dots\dots (1); \quad \xi = \Delta W / 2\pi W \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Where; $F(D)$ is a lateral force at displacement D , ΔW is an energy dissipated in each cycle (area enclosed by the hysteresis loop) and W is a strain energy induced in a bearing;

$$W_s = F(D) * D \dots\dots\dots (3); \quad K_{eff} = k_r + Q_d / D \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Where, the effective stiffness is computed using the maximum displacement of the deck; the characteristic yield strength of lead, Q_d and the post-elastic stiffness of the bearing; k_r .

D. Response Spectrum for Isolated Bridge

According to AASHTO (1999) codes, the design spectrum for isolated bridges differs from conventional bridges. The design spectrum is specified in terms of a design displacement D rather than spectral acceleration, which is a function of the effective period T_{eff} and damping ratio of the bridge, ζ_{iso}

$$D = \frac{10AS_i T_{eff}}{C} \text{ (inches)} \dots\dots (5) \quad T_{eff} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{W}{g \times K_{eff}}} \dots\dots (6)$$

C is a damping factor that depends on the damping ratio ζ_{iso} , A is the acceleration coefficient for the site, and S_i is the site coefficient for isolated structure. In this study, the acceleration coefficient A (which represents peak ground acceleration) is replaced by the 1.0 second period spectral acceleration coefficient S_1 (Buckle et al., 2006a). Figure 3.2 shows Response spectra for the bridges with conventional and isolated conditions with respect to natural period.

According to the displacement D can be estimated the total lateral force in the system, $F = K_{eff} * D \dots\dots\dots (7)$




Figure 3. Response spectra for the bridges with different base conditions

E. Isolated Bridge with linear device

The performance of the columns will degrade once their elastic limit has been reached and larger displacement demands will be imposed on the substructure then the bridge is analysed using the design spectrum for the isolated bridge.

$$K_{total} \text{ Or } K_{iso} = \frac{W}{g} \left(\frac{2\pi}{T_n} \right)^2 \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

Based on the total weight W and assumed natural period T_n of the bridge; the total stiffness, K_{total} and design base shear, F . The design base shear of the whole bridge F_y is calculated from the elastic base shear

F and force reduction R ; The total displacement demand D is distributed to the isolators to their stiffness is;


$$F_y = \frac{F}{R} \dots\dots\dots (9) \quad U_{iso} = \frac{D}{1 * K_{iso}} \dots\dots (10)$$

IV. NUMERICAL MODELLING AND ANALYSIS


Using SAP2000 18 the model of a single span Pedestrian Bridge that consists of steel truss members and concrete deck slab, shown figure 4



(a). Pedestrian Bridge




(b) 3D view of bridge in SAP 2000




(c) xz plane view of bridge in SAP 2000

A. Material properties




The figure shows two screenshots of the 'Material Property Data' dialog box in SAP2000. The left screenshot is for 'Concrete' material, showing properties like Modulus of Elasticity (E), Poisson's ratio (U), and Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (A). The right screenshot is for 'Steel' material, showing properties like Modulus of Elasticity (E), Poisson's ratio (U), and Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (A). Both screenshots include fields for 'Material Name and Display Color', 'Material Type', and 'Material Notes'.

B. Section properties



The figure shows four screenshots of the 'Section Properties' dialog box in SAP2000. The top-left screenshot is for 'Top Bar', showing dimensions like Outside diameter (D) and Wall thickness (tw). The top-right screenshot is for 'Bottom Bar', showing dimensions like Outside diameter (D) and Wall thickness (tw). The bottom-left screenshot is for 'Diagonal Bar', showing dimensions like Outside diameter (D) and Wall thickness (tw). The bottom-right screenshot is for 'Bracing Bar', showing dimensions like Outside vertical leg (D), Outside horizontal leg (D), Horizontal thickness (H), and Vertical leg thickness (tw). All screenshots include fields for 'Section Name', 'Display Color', 'Material', and 'Property Modifiers'.

C. Rubber isolator properties



The figure shows two screenshots of the 'Link/Support Property Data' and 'Link/Support Directional Properties' dialog boxes in SAP2000. The left screenshot is the 'Link/Support Property Data' dialog box for 'Rubber Isolator', showing properties like Property Name (RI), Total Mass and Weight, and Factors for Link Area and Solid Springs. The right screenshot is the 'Link/Support Directional Properties' dialog box for 'Rubber Isolator', showing Identification (Property Name RI, Direction U1, Type Rubber Isolator, NonLinear No) and Properties Used For All Analysis Cases (Effective Stiffness 1139.60, Effective Damping 0.15).




Figure 5. Acceleration vs. Time (Modified El Centro for 15 sec; 20 sec)

D. Nonlinear Time History Analysis

Define > Function>Time history>Input file

Define > Load case > Add new case > Time history





Figure 6. Mid-point displacement vs time curve in Y axis (15 sec)




Figure 7. Mid-point displacement vs time curve in Y axis (20 sec)

Table 1. Difference Maximum Displacement between with and without Isolator in Y axis

Duration (sec)	Software (ft) Without base Isolation		Software (ft) With Base Isolation		Difference of with and without isolation (ft)	
	Max(+ve)	Max(-ve)	Max(+ve)	Max(-ve)	(+ve)	(-ve)
15	0.000044	0.000037	0.000077	0.000073	0.000073	0.000069
20	0.000037	0.000031	0.000026	0.000028	0.000022	0.000025



Figure 8. Difference in maximum negative displacement with and without isolation in Y axis

Click the run for analysis. After analysis we get the displacement from.
 Display > Plot function > Displacement for time history at maximum time.

V. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS RESULTS

A. Displacement vs. Time Curves

Mid-point displacement vs time curve for 15 sec, 20 sec with and without isolator in Y direction.

B. Acceleration vs. Time Curves

Mid-point acceleration vs time curve for 15, 20 sec with and without isolator in Y axis




Figure 9. Mid-point acceleration vs time curve in Y axis
(15 sec)




Figure 10. Mid-point acceleration vs time curve in Y axis
(20 sec)

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The work comprises development of working knowledge on SAP 2000 18 and its application in the dynamic analysis of simply supported bridge. For different El centro (15, 20sec) earthquake data it is found that in every cases displacement is larger when isolator is used. From this results it is found that 15 sec El centro earthquake is more risky for the bridge than 20 sec and using the base isolator at one end of the bridge was not much helpful in earthquake response. The properties of the isolator used in this study was also assumed and it was used at one end of the simply supported bridge.

VII. SUGGESTIONS

Suggested to the designers to ponder about the participation of structural elements in the seismic isolation global solution, given that characteristics such as lateral restoring capability are an intrinsic part of the entire structural system and not of each component. As an alternative to conventional rubber isolators such as high damping rubber bearing and lead rubber bearing, smart rubber bearing systems with shape memory alloys (SMAs) have been proposed in recent years. As a class of smart materials, shape memory alloys show excellent re- cantering and considerable damping capabilities which can be exploited to obtain an efficient seismic isolation system

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Would like to thank all the faculty members of Civil Engineering Department for their support and guidelines. We are also thankful to the support staff and administrative staff who managed so many issues during the work.

IX. REFERENCES

1. Guerreiro, L., Ferreira, J. P., Colato, G. P., Castellano, G., & Baldo, P. (2005, January). Base isolation for seismic protection—the new hospital in Lisbon. In IABSE Symposium Report (Vol. 90, No. 2, pp. 35-42). International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering.
2. Parducci, A., & Mezzi, M. (1991, November). Seismic isolation of bridges in Italy. In Proceedings of the Pacific Conference on Earthquake engineering, New Zealand (Vol. 20423).
3. Unjoh, S., & Ohsumi, M. Earthquake Response Characteristics of Super-Multi-Span Continuous Menshin (Seismic Isolation) Bridges and the Seismic Design. ISET Journal of Earthquake Technology, Paper, (377), 95-104.
4. Jangid, R. S. (2004). Seismic response of isolated bridges. Journal of Bridge Engineering, 9(2), 156-166.
5. Pagnini, L. C., Ballio, G., & Solari, G. (1998). Modelling and nonlinear seismic analysis of bridges with aseismic devices. European Earthquake Engineering, 12, 19-29.
6. Tongaokar, N. P., & Jangid, R. S. (2000). Earthquake response of seismically isolated bridges. European Earthquake Engineering, 14(1), 48-58.
7. Turkington, D. H., Carr, A. J., Cooke, N., & Moss, P. J. (1989). Seismic design of bridges on lead-rubber bearings. Journal of Structural Engineering, 115(12), 3000-3016.
8. Turkington, D. H., Carr, A. J., Cooke, N., & Moss, P. J. (1989). Design method for bridges on lead-rubber bearings. Journal of Structural Engineering, 115(12), 3017-3030.
9. Ebnesajjad, S. (2013). Introduction to fluoropolymers: materials, technology and applications. William Andrew.
10. Haque, M. N., Bhuiyan, A. R., & Alam, M. J. (2010, August). Seismic response analysis of base isolated highway bridge: Effectiveness of using laminated rubber bearings. In IABSE-JSCE Joint Conference on Advances in Bridge Engineering-II (Vol. 336).
11. Lee, G. C., Kitane, Y., & Buckle, I. G. (2001). Literature review of the observed performance of seismically isolated bridges. Research progress and accomplishments: multidisciplinary center for earthquake engineering research, 51-62.
12. <https://doshinrubber.com/lead-rubber-bearing/>



13. Jankowski, R., Wilde, K., & Fujino, Y. (1998). Pounding of superstructure segments in isolated elevated bridge during earthquakes. *Earthquake engineering & structural dynamics*, 27(5), 487-502.
14. Kunde, M. C., & Jangid, R. S. (2003). Seismic behavior of isolated bridges: A-state-of-the-art review. *Electronic Journal of Structural Engineering*, 3(2), 140-169.
15. Priestley, M. J. N., & Grant, D. N. (2005). Viscous damping in seismic design and analysis. *Journal of earthquake engineering*, 9(spec02), 229-255

IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



AUTHORS

Al Amin



ABOUT IJEAST

International journal of Engineering Applied Science and Technology (IJEAST) is a peer-reviewed, open access journal that publishes high - quality research paper in the field of Engineering, Applied Science and Technology.

IJEAST aims to provide a platform for researchers, academicians, and professionals to share their innovative ideas, research findings, and practical experiences with the global scientific community.

JOURNAL METRICS



H-INDEX

33



i10-INDEX

154



IJEAST
CITATIONS

9,647

(IJEAST CITATIONS)



PEER-REVIEWED
& OPEN ACCESS

PUBLISHED
MONTHLY



PEER REVIEWED

All submission are rigorously peer reviewed to ensure quality.



AUTHORS ARE OUR PRIORITY

We value our authors and recognize their contribution to the advancement of research and knowledge.



OPEN ACCESS

Free and unrestricted access to research for all.



GLOBAL REACH

Connecting researchers and professionals worldwide.



TIMELY PUBLICATION

We ensure a swift and efficient publication process.



For more information, visit our website
www.ijeast.com



editor@ijeast.com



www.ijeast.com



India



2455-2143