



A STUDY ON A SUBCLASS OF INTUITIONISTIC PREOPEN SETS VIA INTUITIONISTIC GRILLS

K.SUGUNA DEVI
 Department of Mathematics
 Sri Parasakthi College for Women
 Courtallam, Tamil Nadu, India

R.RAJA RAJESWARI
 Department of Mathematics
 Sri Parasakthi College for Women
 Courtallam, Tamil Nadu, India

N.DURGA DEVI
 Department of Mathematics
 Sri Parasakthi College for Women
 Courtallam, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract Study of ideal and grill on a topological space is going on from 1930 and 1947 respectively to till date. The aim of this paper is to introduce a class of intuitionistic sets in an intuitionistic topological space X , termed \mathcal{J}_g -open, which forms a subclass of the class of an intuitionistic pre open sets of X .

Keywords: Intuitionistic set, Intuitionistic grill, intuitionistic grill open .

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Intuitionistic sets and Intuitionistic points are introduced by D.Coker[4] in 1996. This concept is originated from the study of Zadeh[6], who introduced Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in the year 1965. These sets are considered as the discrete form of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and it is also one of several ways of introducing vagueness in mathematical objects. After Coker introduced Intuitionistic sets and topology based on these sets several papers were published in intuitionistic fuzzy topology. The idea of grill on a topological space was first introduced by Choquet [7] in 1947. Roy and Mukherjee[9], Noiri and Alomiri[10] have used grill on topological space as like ideals in topological space and have obtained many new topologies. It is observed from literature that the concept of grill is a powerful supporting tool, like nets and filters, in dealing with many topological concepts quite effectively. The idea of pre open sets was founded by Mashhour et al.,[11]. In this paper, we introduce and study a type of sets, defined in terms of intuitionistic grills. It is seen that such sets form a subclass of the class of all intuitionistic preopen sets.

Definition 1.1. [2] Let X be a nonempty fixed set. An intuitionistic set (IS for short) A is an object having the form $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ where A^1 and A^2 are subsets of X satisfying $A^1 \cap A^2 = \emptyset$. The set A^1 is called the set of members of A , while A^2 is called the set of non members of A . The collection of set of all intuitionistic subsets of the set X is denoted as $ISP(X)$.

Definition 1.2. [2] Let X be a nonempty set. $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle X, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic sets on X and let $\{A_i : i \in J\}$ be an arbitrary family of intuitionistic sets in X , where $A^i = \langle X, A_i^1, A_i^2 \rangle$. Then

- (a) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A^1 \subseteq B^1$ and $B^2 \subseteq A^2$.
- (b) $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
- (c) $\cup A_i = \langle X, \cup A_i^1, \cap A_i^2 \rangle$.
- (d) $\cap A_i = \langle X, \cap A_i^1, \cup A_i^2 \rangle$.
- (e) $\tilde{X} = \langle X, X, \emptyset \rangle$.
- (f) $\tilde{\emptyset} = \langle X, \emptyset, X \rangle$.
- (g) $A^c = \langle X, A^2, A^1 \rangle$.
- (h) $A - B = A \cap B^c$.

Definition 1.3. [4] Let X be a nonempty set and $p \in X$ be a fixed element in X . Then $\tilde{p} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$ is called an intuitionistic point (IP for short) in X .

Definition 1.4. [2] An intuitionistic topology (IT for short) on a nonempty set X is a family τ of intuitionistic sets in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (T₁) $\tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X} \in \tau$.
- (T₂) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$.
- (T₃) $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$. In this case, the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic topological space (ITS for short) and any IS in τ is known as an intuitionistic open set (IOS for short) in X .

Definition 1.5. [2] The complement A of an IOS A in an ITS (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic closed set (ICS for short) in X .

Definition 1.6. [2] Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic topological space and $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an IS in X . Then the interior and closure of A are defined by

$$\text{Int}(A) = \cup \{G : G \text{ is an IOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{ICl}(A) = \cap \{K : K \text{ is ICS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}.$$

Definition 1.7. [8] A subcollection \mathcal{J}_g (not containing the empty set) of $ISP(X)$ is called an intuitionistic grill on X if \mathcal{J}_g satisfies the following conditions:



- (i) $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $B \in \mathcal{J}_g$.
- (ii) $A, B \subseteq X$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{J}_g$ implies that either $A \in \mathcal{J}_g$ or $B \in \mathcal{J}_g$.

Example 1.8. [8] Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\mathcal{J}_g = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X} \}$ be an intuitionistic grill on X .

Definition 1.9. [8] Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic topological space and \mathcal{J}_g be an intuitionistic grill on X . We define a mapping $\Phi: \text{ISP}(X) \rightarrow \text{ISP}(X)$, denoted by $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A, \tau)$ for $A \in \text{ISP}(X)$ or $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)$ called the operator associated with the intuitionistic grill \mathcal{J}_g and the intuitionistic topology τ and it is defined by $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) = \{ \tilde{p} \in X : A \cap U \in \mathcal{J}_g \text{ for all } U \in \tau(\tilde{p}) \}$, where $\tau(\tilde{p})$ stands for the collection of all open neighbourhoods of \tilde{p} .

Result 1.10. [8] For a given intuitionistic grill \mathcal{J}_g on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) , the map $\Phi: \text{ISP}(X) \rightarrow \text{ISP}(X)$, $\psi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) = A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)$ is a Kuratowski's closure operator giving rise to an intuitionistic topology $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_g}$ (say) on X such that (a) $\tau \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{J}_g}$ and (b) $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}_g, \tau) = \{ V \setminus A : V \in \tau \text{ and } A \notin \mathcal{J}_g \}$ is an open base for $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_g}$.

- Result 1.11. [8]** Let \mathcal{J}_g be an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) . Then
- $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(B)$.
 - $A \subseteq X$ and $A \notin \mathcal{J}_g$ implies $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) = \tilde{\emptyset}$.
 - $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) \subseteq \text{ICl}(A)$, for any $A \subseteq X$.
 - $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A \cup B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(B)$, for any $A, B \subseteq X$.
 - $A \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)$ implies $\text{ICl}(A) = \tau_{\mathcal{J}_g}\text{-ICl}(A) = \text{ICl}(\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)) = \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)$.
 - $U \in \tau$ and $\tau \setminus \{ \tilde{\emptyset} \} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_g$ implies $U \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(U)$.
 - If $U \in \tau$, then $U \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) = U \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(U \cap A)$, for any $A \subseteq X$.

Definition 1.12. [14] An intuitionistic subset A of an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) is called intuitionistic pre open (intuitionistic pre closed) if $A \subseteq \text{IntIcl}(A)$ (resp. $\text{IclInt}(A) \subseteq A$).

The intersection (union) of intuitionistic pre closed (resp. intuitionistic pre open) sets containing (resp. contained in) a set A is called the intuitionistic pre closure (resp. intuitionistic pre interior) of A , to be denoted by $\text{Ipcl}(A)$ (resp. $\text{Ipoint}(A)$).

The set of all intuitionistic pre open sets in an intuitionistic topological space X is denoted by $\text{IPO}(X)$.

Definition 1.13. [12] An intuitionistic subset A of an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) is called intuitionistic semi open if for some intuitionistic open set U , $U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Icl}(U)$.

The complement of an intuitionistic semi open set is called intuitionistic semi closed. The intersection of all intuitionistic semi closed sets containing A is called the intuitionistic semi closure of A and is denoted by $\text{Iscl}(A)$.

Definition 1.14. [13] An intuitionistic subset A of an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic semi-preopen if there exists an intuitionistic pre open set U in X such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Icl}(U)$.

The family of all intuitionistic semi preopen sets in (X, τ) is denoted by $\text{Ispo}(X)$. For any $A \subseteq X$, the union of all intuitionistic semi pre open sets contained in A is called an intuitionistic semi-preinterior of A and is denoted by $\text{Ispint}(A)$.

Result 1.15. [15] An intuitionistic set A is intuitionistic semi closed if and only if $A = \text{Iscl}(A)$.

Result 1.16. [13] For any intuitionistic set A of an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) , $\text{Iscl}(A) = A \cup \text{IntIcl}(A)$.

Definition 1.17. [14] An intuitionistic set A of an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic regular open if $A = \text{Int}(\text{Icl}(A))$.

II. INTUITIONISTIC GRILL OPEN SET

In this chapter we introduce \mathcal{J}_g -open sets, the definition being given in terms of an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space X and an operator $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A)$ introduced in [8].

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{J}_g be an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) . An intuitionistic set $A \subseteq X$ is called \mathcal{J}_g -open if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A))$.

The complement of such an intuitionistic set is called \mathcal{J}_g -closed.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{ \tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b, c\}, \{a\} \rangle \}$ be an intuitionistic topology on X .

Consider an intuitionistic grill $\mathcal{J}_g = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b, c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X} \}$

Let $A = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle$. $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) = \{ \langle X, \{b\}, \{a, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle \}$ implies $\Phi_{\mathcal{J}_g}(A) = \langle X, \{b, c\}, \{a\} \rangle$. Thus A is \mathcal{J}_g -open. But not an intuitionistic open.



Remark 2.3. Clearly, every J_g -open set in any intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) is an intuitionistic preopen (by result 1.11(c)). The converse is not true.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{ \tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle \}$ be an intuitionistic topology on X . Consider an intuitionistic grill $J_g = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X} \}$.

Let $A = \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle$. Then A is an intuitionistic open (hence an intuitionistic pre open) set in (X, τ) . But $\Phi_{J_g}(A) = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle \}$ implies $\Phi_{J_g}(A) = \langle X, \{a, c\}, \{b\} \rangle$, so that $\text{int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A)) = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle$. Hence A is not J_g -open.

Note 2.5. From previous two examples, intuitionistic open sets and J_g -open sets are independent of each other.

Theorem 2.6. Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic topological space and J_g be an intuitionistic grill on X . Then arbitrary union of J_g -open sets is J_g -open.

Proof: Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$ be an intuitionistic family of J_g -open subsets of (X, τ) . Then $A_\alpha \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A_\alpha))$ for each $\alpha \in J$. Now $\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} A_\alpha \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} A_\alpha)) \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A_\alpha))))$ (by result 1.11(a)).

Remark 2.7. The intersection of two J_g -open sets may not be J_g -open set.

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{ \tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle \}$ be an intuitionistic topology on X . Consider an intuitionistic grill $J_g = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a, b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b, c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b, c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \tilde{X} \}$.

Let $A = \langle X, \{a, c\}, \{b\} \rangle$ implies $\Phi_{J_g}(A) = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle \}$ implies $\Phi_{J_g}(A) = \tilde{X}$, so that $\text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A)) = \tilde{X}$ and let $B = \langle X, \{b, c\}, \{a\} \rangle$ implies $\Phi_{J_g}(B) = \{ \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a, c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle \}$ implies $\Phi_{J_g}(B) = \tilde{X}$. $\text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(B)) = \tilde{X}$. Thus A and B are J_g -open. Now $A \cap B = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle$. But $A \cap B = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle$. But $A \cap B$ is not J_g -open (as $\Phi_{J_g}(\langle X, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle) = \tilde{\emptyset}$).

Theorem 2.9. Let J_g be an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) .

- (a) If $\tau \setminus \{ \tilde{\emptyset} \} \subseteq J_g$, then every intuitionistic open set is J_g -open set.
- (b) If $A \subseteq X$ is J_g -open and τ_{J_g} -intuitionistic closed, then A is an intuitionistic open.

Proof: (a) $\tau \setminus \{ \tilde{\emptyset} \} \subseteq J_g$ and $U \in \tau$ implies $U \subseteq \Phi_{J_g}(U)$ (by result 1.11(f)). Now $U = \text{Int} U \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(U))$. Therefore U is J_g -open.

(b) Since A is τ_{J_g} -intuitionistic closed, we have $A = \psi_{J_g}(A) = A \cup \Phi_{J_g}(A)$.

So that $\Phi_{J_g}(A) \subseteq A$. Again, as A is J_g -open, we have $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A)) \subseteq \text{Int}(A)$. Hence A is an intuitionistic open set.

Theorem 2.10. Let J_g be an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) A is J_g -open.
- (b) $A \subseteq \Phi_{J_g}(A)$ and $\text{Iscl}(A) = \text{IntIcl}(A)$.
- (c) $A \subseteq \Phi_{J_g}(A)$ and A is an intuitionistic preopen.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b) A is J_g -open implies $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A))$ implies $A \subseteq \Phi_{J_g}(A)$. By result 1.11(c), $A \subseteq \text{IntIcl}(A)$. Then by using result 1.16, $\text{Iscl}(A) = A \cup \text{IntIcl}(A) = \text{IntIcl}(A)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) We have, $A \subseteq \text{Iscl} A = \text{IntIcl}(A)$ implies A is an intuitionistic pre open.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let $A \subseteq \Phi_{J_g}(A)$ and A is an intuitionistic pre open implies $A \subseteq \text{IntIcl}(A)$. That implies $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(A))$ (since $\text{Icl}(A) = \Phi_{J_g}(A)$). Therefore A is J_g -open.

Corollary 2.11. Let J_g be an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) . If $A \subseteq X$ is intuitionistic semi closed and J_g -open then A is an intuitionistic regular open.

Proof: Let A is J_g -open. That implies $\text{Iscl}(A) = \text{IntIcl}(A)$ (By Theorem 2.10). Now A is an intuitionistic semi closed implies $A = \text{Iscl}(A) = \text{IntIcl}(A)$.

Theorem 2.12. Let J_g be an intuitionistic grill on an intuitionistic topological space (X, τ) . If $A \subseteq X$ is J_g closed, then $A \supseteq \text{Icl}(\text{Int}(A)) \supseteq \Phi_{J_g}(\text{Int}(A))$.



Proof: Let A is J_g closed implies $\tilde{X} \setminus A$ is J_g -open implies $\tilde{X} \setminus A \subseteq \Phi_{J_g}(\tilde{X} \setminus A)$ (by Theorem 2.10). So by result 1.11(e), $\Phi_{J_g}(\tilde{X} \setminus A) = \text{Icl}(\tilde{X} \setminus A) = \tilde{X} \setminus \text{Int}(A)$. Thus $\tilde{X} \setminus A \subseteq \text{Int}(\Phi_{J_g}(\tilde{X} \setminus A))$ implies $\tilde{X} \setminus A \subseteq \text{Int}(\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Int}(A))$ implies $\tilde{X} \setminus A = \tilde{X} \setminus \text{IclInt}(A)$ implies $\text{IclInt}(A) \subseteq A$. Thus $A \supseteq \text{IclInt}(A) \supseteq \Phi_{J_g}(\text{Int}(A))$

III. REFERENCES

- [1] K. Atanassov., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia, 1983, Bulgarian.
- [2] D. Coker., An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, Busefal, 81, 51-56(2000).
- [3] E. Coskun., and D. Coker , On neighborhood Structures in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces, Mathematical Balkanica, 12(3-4)(1998) 289-293.
- [4] D. Coker., A Note on Intuitionistic Sets and Intuitionistic points, Tr. J. math, 20(1996) 343-351.
- [5] J.L. Kelley., General Topology, Princeton: D. Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [6] L.A. Zadeh., Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8(1965) 338-353.
- [7] G. Choquet., Sur les notions de filter et grille, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, 224(1947), 171-173.
- [8] K. Suguna Devi, R. Raja Rajeswari and N. Durgadevi., Intuitionistic grill, The Journal of Computer Science, 109(2017) 130-132.
- [9] B. Roy and M.N. Mukherjee., Concerning topologies Induced by principal grills, An. Stiint. Univ. AL.I. cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S), 55(2)(2009), 285-294.
- [10] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri., decompositions of continuity Via grills, Jord. J. Math. Stat., 4(1)(2011), 33-46.
- [11] A.S. Mashhour, I.A. Hasanien and S.N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak pre continuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt 53(1982), 47-53.
- [12] S. Girija and Gnanambal Illango., Some more results on intuitionistic semi open sets, Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications., (2014), 70-74.
- [13] A. Singaravelan and Gnanambal Illango., Some more properties of intuitionistic β -open sets, International Journal of Pure and applied mathematics, 106(2016), 13-20.
- [14] Gnanambal Illango and S. Selvanayagi., Generalized preregular closed sets in intuitionistic topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive 5(4), 2014, 30-36.
- [15] G. Sasikala and M. Navaneethakrishnan, Study on intuitionistic semi open sets, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, volume 12, (2016), 79-84.